

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/660,226

Applicant(s)

KOMATSU ET AL.

Examiner

Gregory B Sefcheck

Art Unit

2662

## All Participants:

(1) Gregory B Sefcheck.

(2) Brian Myers, Applicant's Attorney of Record.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 14/24 June 2004

Time: 11:50AM

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*Claims 5, 16, 22, and 23*

Prior art documents discussed:

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

## Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: 6/14 - Examiner contacted Applicant's Attorney to discuss replacing unconventional language in claims 22 and 23 to be consistent with amended claims 5 and 16 and with that of language generally accepted in the art. Agreement was reached.

6/24 - Examiner contacted Applicant's Attorney to discuss amending indefinite language in claims 5, 16, 22, and 23. Agreement was reached, with Mr. Myers agreeing to submit a draft to be entered as an examiner's amendment.